

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,724	06/22/2006	Noriyoshi Okuzono	145181	9925	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 01/21/201 PRIDGE PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 320850			NGUYEN, DUNG V		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/21/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/596,724		OKUZONO ET AL.		
		Art Unit		
Dung Van Nguyen		3723		
	* '	-		

		0.00				
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for F	Review filed 15 Dec	ember 2010.			
1. \square Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s):						
☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not incl ☐ A proposed amendment is ☐ Other:	ude reasons why a	review is appropri	ate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.						
held. The application remains unc is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	ler appeal because ief in accordance varieting this from mailing this tice of appeal, which 7 CFR 1.136 bases	e there is at least or vith 37 CFR 41.37. decision, or the bal chever is greater. F	e-Appeal Brief conference has beer the actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of the e of this decision or the receipt date			
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: <u>none.</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>none.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3-6.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from con		claim(s) is as follo	ws:			
Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time.	conference has be tion on the merits	en held. The reject remains closed. N	ion is withdrawn and a Notice of o further action is required by			
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A caction will be mailed. No further a						
All participants:						
(1) <u>Dung Van Nguyen</u> .	(3) Nathan J. Newhouse.					
(2) Joseph J. Hail, III.		(4)				
/Dung Van Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723	/Joseph J. Hail, I Supervisory Pater		/Nathan J. Newhouse/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art			

Unit 3782

Unit 3723